**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# POST MASS DRUG ADMINISTRATION EVALUATION SURVEY FOR LYMPHATIC FILARIASIS IN BIDAR DISTRICT

#### **Praveen Gowda**

Hassan Institute of Medical Sciences, Sri Chamaranjendra Hospital, Hassan, Karnataka, India

Correspondence to: Praveen Gowda (drgpraveen@gmail.com)

DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2013.2.227-230 Received Date: 13.11.12012 **Accepted Date: 13.11.2012** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

Background: Lymphatic filariasis (LF) is gruesome disease which is endemic in many countries, with more than a billion people at risk of infection. In view with the global elimination, mass drug administration (MDA) with single dose of diethylcarbamazine tablets was carried out for the eligible population in Bidar district.

Aims & Objective: This study was undertaken to assess coverage of MDA against Lymphatic Filariasis in Bidar district. Material and Methods: A cross-sectional coverage evaluation survey in one urban and three rural clusters were selected randomly in Bidar district. The data were collected in a pretested interview performa, computed and analyzed using SPSS-12.0 to calculate frequencies and proportions.

Results: A total of four clusters in the districts resulted in a study population of 642 individuals. The overall compliance rate in Bidar district was 52.18%. The prime reason for noncompliance was fear of side effects, not received tablets and not present at home.

Conclusion: There is an urgent need for more effective drug delivery strategies to improve the compliance in the district.

**KEY-WORDS:** Mass Drug Administration; Lymphatic Filariasis; Diethylcarbamazine

## Introduction

Lymphatic filariasis (LF) is a gruesome disease and one of the important public health problem faced by many developing countries in the world.[1] It is endemic in more than 83 countries and territories, with more than a billion people at risk of infection. It is one of the world's leading causes of permanent and long-term disability with an estimated 5.1 million disability adjusted life years (DALYs) are lost due to this disease.[2,3] Estimates reveal that 554.2 million people are at risk of LF infection in 243 districts across 20 states and union territories of India.[4] The global programme to eliminate LF began its first mass drug administration (MDA) campaign in 1999 after the 50th World Health Assembly resolved that LF should be eliminated as a public health problem.[5]

Recent research studies showed that annual single-dose MDA with diethylcarbamazine (DEC) is an effective tool for the control of LF and 5-10 rounds of treatment with 75-80% coverage could possibly eradicate it by reducing the transmission to very low levels.[6] The Government of India (GOI) in 2004 began a nationwide MDA campaign in all the known LF endemic districts with an annual single dose of DEC with the aim of eliminating it as a public health problem by the year 2015 according to National Health Policy 2002.[7] World Health Organization has recommended single-dose DEC and albendazole as a preferred combination for repeated, annual MDA in filariasis endemic areas, which reduces blood microfilaria (MF) counts.[8,9]

An effective surveillance can help fulfil the aim of global elimination of LF as a public health problem.<sup>[5]</sup> Hence, this survey is to assess the actual coverage of MDA with single dose DEC in Bidar district of Karnataka state and to recommend mid-course improvements. This evaluation survey was conducted 3 months after the MDA campaign over a period of 3 days by the author for the GOI through Chief Medical Officer, Regional Office for Health and Family Welfare, Bengaluru.

# **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted in one of the filaria endemic district, Bidar in Karnataka state. This was a cross-sectional survey. The study was conducted from 15th to 17th October 2007. The study population includes all the sampled eligible population who belong to the MDA campaign area. The eligible population did not include pregnant and lactating women, children below two years of age and seriously ill persons.[3] The interviewer who conducted the interviews in the Bidar districts was trained informally in the regional office for health and family welfare, Bengaluru in all aspects of coverage survey.

Three clusters (three primary health centers) from rural areas and one cluster (ward) from urban area were selected randomly from the list of PHC's and urban wards where the MDA was carried out. From the PHC's, one subcenter was selected and then one village from that subcenter was selected randomly from the list of subcenters and villages in the PHC's. In those selected villages, 30 randomly selected households were covered. All the available individuals at the time of interview in the household were taken. A total of 120 households were visited in four areas selected covering a population of 642. House to house field survey was conducted and filled the performa using personal interview method. Informal consent was obtained from the participants. Drug distributors were health workers, anganwadi workers, accredited social health activist and student volunteers a formal training programme was organized to all the staff who was involved in the MDA campaign in the district headquarters. This study was conducted after 3 months of MDA campaign which is a limitation (recall bias). This survey assessed only the coverage aspect and not the entire MDA implementation programme. The data were collected in a pretested performa, computed in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using SPSS-12.0. Chi-square test was used to test the significance.

## Results

A total of four clusters (one urban ward and three rural villages) resulted in a total study population

of 642. The basic characteristics of the study population regarding different age group, sex and education was studied. Majority of the respondents were in the age group of 15-59 years (65.7%). The males in the study population were 51.4% (Table 1). Majority of males and females who consumed DEC tablets were in the age group of 15-59 years (Table 2). The main reasons for not consuming the tablet was fear of side effects (22%), not received tablet (20.2%), followed by not present at home (17.6%) and drug given at home but no information (9.1%) in Bidar district (Table 3).

Table-1: Distribution of Respondents According to Ago Sov and Education (N = 642)

Age, Sex and Education (N = 642)					
Variables	Number (%)				
Age Group (Years)					
2 – 5	48 (7.5)				
6 - 14	120 (18)				
15 – 59	422 (65.7)				
> 60	52 (8.01)				
Sex					
Male	330 (51.4)				
Female	312 (48.6)				
Education					
Illiterate	206 (32)				
Preschool	85 (13.2)				
Primary	186 (28.9)				
Secondary	128 (19.9)				
Graduate	32 (5.0)				
Post Graduate	5 (0.8)				

Table-2: Distribution of Respondents Based on **Consumption of DEC Tablets** 

00110H111PH1011 01 2 2 0 1 H1010 10							
Age in Years	Male	Female	Total				
2 – 5	12	7	19				
6 - 14	30	25	55				
15 - 59	110	118	228				
>60	18	15	33				
Total	170	165	335				

**Table-3: Distribution of Study Population according** to the Reason for Not Consuming Tablet (N = 307)

Reasons for Non-Consumption	Number (%)
Did not receive tablets	62 (20.3)
Not present at home	54 (17.6)
Drug given at home but no information	28 (9.2)
Too small to take drugs	20 (6.5)
Too many tablets	16 (5.3)
Fear of side effects	68 (22)
Forgot to take	34 (11)
Fear to give drugs to children	25 (8.1)

The compliance among study subjects according to age, sex, and area-wise was studied. The overall compliance rate in Bidar district was 52.18%. Compliance was marginally better among older

people. The main reason for noncompliance among <15 years children fear of side effects (22%), not received tablet (20.2%), not present at home (17.6%), drug given at home but no information (9.1%) and fear of giving drugs to children (8.1%) in Bidar district. The compliance was significantly better in rural area compared to urban ward ( $\chi^2$  =27.56, P<0.001) and the compliance among men and women was almost similar (P>0.05) (Table 4). Among the people who had the knowledge of MDA programme majority of them got the information from health workers, Anganwadi workers, loud speakers and Banners.

Table-4: Distribution of Study Population according to Compliance to DEC

Variables	Eligible Population	Actually Consumed	Compliance (%)	Chi-Square Test		
Age Group (Years)						
2 – 5	48	19	39.6			
6 - 14	120	55	45.8	1.98		
15 - 59	422	228	54.1	P > 0.05*		
> 60	52	33	63.5			
Sex						
Male	330	170	51.5	0.03		
Female	312	165	52.9	P > 0.05*		
Area						
Urban	170	40	23.5	27.56		
Rural	472	295	62.5	P < 0.001**		

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically not significant; \*\* statistically significant

## **Discussion**

A high coverage (>85%) in endemic areas, which is sustained for 5 years, is required to achieve the interruption of transmission and elimination of disease in India.[10] The 52.18 % compliance in Bidar district observed was below the expected target. In previous studies of MDA in India, reported similar lower compliance rates which was ranging from 38.8 to 41.6%.[11-13] In much of India, compliance appears to have not changed much between 2000 and 2007.[11-14] Similar to other studies in India, the main reason for noncompliance was Fear of side effects, drug was not given or individual not at home.[15] Hence, there is an urgent need for more effective drug delivery strategies. The probable reason was some rumor spread during the last year MDA campaign regarding the side effects in Bidar district. Similar to other studies, the coverage and compliance were better in rural areas when compared to urban areas in our study.[15] Hence,

need special attention in urban areas during the MDA in the district.

## **Conclusion**

The MDA coverage and compliance should be given at most importance because, six rounds of MDA, even with 54-75% treatment coverage, can reduce LF transmission very appreciably and better treatment coverage and a few more rounds of MDA may achieve total interruption of transmission.[16]

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Dr. Ravi Kumar K, Chief Medical Officer, Regional Office for Health and Family Welfare, Bengaluru, for the technical advice in the survey.

# References

- 1. Krentel A, Fischer P, Manoempil P, Supali T, Servais G, Rückert P. Using knowledge, attitudes and practice (KAP) surveys on lymphatic filariasis to prepare a health promotion campaign for mass drug administration in Alor District, Indonesia. Trop Med Int Health 2006;11:1731-40.
- World Health Report. Life in the 21st. Century: A all. 1998. Retrieved Vision for http://www.who.int/whr/1998/en/whr98en.pdf.
- World Health Organization. Regional Strategic Plan for Elimination of Lymphatic Filariasis (2004-2004: 1-2. Retrieved from: http://www.searo.who.int/LinkFiles/NewLympha tic Filariasis\_Regional\_Strategic\_Plan\_LF 2007.pdf.
- World Health Organization. Lymphatic Filariasis. Weekly Epidemiol Rec 2007;82:361-80. Retrieved
  - http://www.who.int/wer/2007/wer8242.pdf.
- 5. Lymphatic Filariasis. Monitoring and epidemiological assessment of the programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis at implementation unit level. World Health Organization. Retrieved from:
  - http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2005/WHO\_CDS\_CP E\_CEE\_2005.50.pdf.
- 6. Ottesen EA, Ismail MM, Horton J. The role of albendazole in programmes to eliminate lymphatic filariasis. Parasitol Today 1999;15:382-6.
- New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India; 2002. National Health Policy 2002; pp. 1-39.
- World Health Organization. Preparing Implementing a National Plan to Eliminate Lymphatic filariasis countries where

- Onchocerciasis is not co-endemic. Retrieved from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2000/WHO\_CDS\_CP E\_CEE\_2000.15.pdf.
- El Setouhy M, Ramzy RM, Ahmed ES, Kandil AM, Hussain O, Farid HA, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing single- and multi-dose combination therapy with diethylcarbamazine and albendazole for treatment of bancroftian filariasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004;70:191-6.
- 10. Government of India. Operational guidelines on elimination of lymphatic filariasis. Directorate NVBDCP. 2005. p. 3. Retrieved http://www.nvbdcp.gov.in/Doc/LF%20manual\_fin al.doc
- 11. Das PK, Pani SP, Krishnamoorthy K. Prospects of elimination of lymphatic filariasis in India. Icmr Bull 2002;32:41-54.
- 12. Aswathy S, Beteena K, Leelamoni K. Mass drug administration against filariasis in Perceptions and practices in a rural community in Kerala. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2009;103: 617-24.
- 13. Babu BV, Rath K, Kerketta AS, Swain BK, Mishra S, Kar SK. Adverse reactions following mass drug administration during the programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis in Orissa state, India. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2006;100:464-9.

- 14. Nandha B, Sadanandane C, Jambulingam P, Das P. Delivery strategy of mass annual single dose DEC administration to eliminate lymphatic filariasis in the urban areas of Pondicherry, South India: 5 years of experience. Filaria J 2007;6:7.
- 15. Babu BV, Kar SK. Coverage, compliance and some operational issues of mass drug administration during the programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis in Orissa, India. Trop Med Int Health 2004;9:702-9.
- 16. Ramaiah KD, Das PK, Vanamail P, Pani SP. The impact of six rounds of single-dose mass administration of diethylcarbamazine ivermectin on the transmission of Wuchereria bancrofti by Culex quinquefasciatus and its implications for lymphatic filariasis elimination programmes. Trop Med Int Health 2003;8:1082-92.

Cite this article as: Gowda P. Post mass drug administration evaluation survey for Lymphatic Filariasis in Bidar district. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2013; 2:235-238.

Source of Support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None declared